





Can Circulating MicroRNAs, Cytokines, and Adipokines Help to Differentiate Psoriatic Arthritis from Erosive Osteoarthritis of the Hand? A Case–Control Study

Antonella Fioravanti ^{1,*}, Sara Cheleschi ², Etienne Cavalier ³, Jean-Yves Reginster ⁴, Majed Alokail ⁴, Aurélie Ladang ³, Sara Tenti ⁵ and Giorgio Bedogni ^{6,7}

- ¹ Independent Researcher, 53100 Siena, Italy (Previously Responsible for the Clinic for the Diagnosis and Management of Hand Osteoarthritis, of the Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neuroscience, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Policlinico Le Scotte, 53100 Siena, Italy)
- ² Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neuroscience, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Policlinico Le Scotte, 53100 Siena, Italy; saracheleschi@hotmail.com
- ³ Department of Clinical Chemistry, CHU de Liège, University of Liège, 4000 Liege, Belgium; etienne.cavalier@chuliege.be (E.C.); aladang@chuliege.be (A.L.)
- ⁴ Biochemistry Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11362, Saudi Arabia; jyreginster@uliege.be (J.-Y.R.); malokail@ksu.edu.sa (M.A.)
- ⁵ Azienda USL Toscana Sud Est, 52100 Arezzo, Italy (Previously Responsible for the Clinic for the Diagnosis and Management of Hand Osteoarthritis, of the Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neuroscience, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Policlinico Le Scotte, 53100 Siena, Italy)
- ⁶ Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; giorgiobedogni@unibo.it
- ⁷ Department of Primary Health Care, Internal Medicine Unit Addressed to Frailty and Aging, S. Maria delle Croci Hospital, AUSL Romagna, 48121 Ravenna, Italy
- * Correspondence: fioravanti7@virgilio.it

Abstract: The differential diagnosis of erosive osteoarthritis of the hand (EHOA) and psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is challenging, especially considering the absence of specific diagnostic biomarkers. The aim of the present study was to evaluate whether a pattern of microRNAs (miRNAs) (miR-21, miR-140, miR-146a, miR-155, miR-181a, miR-223), pro-inflammatory cytokines [interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-6, IL-17a, IL-23a, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α], and adipokines (adiponectin, chemerin, leptin, resistin, and visfatin) could help to differentiate EHOA from PsA. Fifty patients with EHOA, fifty patients with PsA, and fifty healthy subjects (HS) were studied. The gene expression of miRNAs and cytokines were evaluated by real-time PCR from peripheral blood mononuclear cells and serum levels of cytokines and adipokines were quantified by ELISA in PsA and EHOA patients and HS. Gene expression showed the significant up-regulation of the analyzed miRNAs in EHOA and PsA patients as compared to HS and higher miR-155 in EHOA vs. PsA patients. The expression levels of IL-1 β and IL-6 did not show any significant differences between EHOA and PsA, while IL-17a and IL-23a were significantly up-regulated in PsA compared to EHOA. Circulating TNF- α levels were higher in EHOA compared to PsA, while PsA patients exhibited significantly elevated levels of IL-23a. The combination of miR-155 with C-reactive protein enhanced the ability to differentiate EHOA from PsA, further supporting the potential of miR-155 as a diagnostic biomarker.

Keywords: erosive osteoarthritis of the hand; psoriatic arthritis; microRNAs; cytokines; miR-155; adipokines; biomarkers



Academic Editor: Francesca Oliviero

Received: 30 March 2025 Revised: 6 May 2025 Accepted: 9 May 2025 Published: 12 May 2025

Citation: Fioravanti, A.; Cheleschi, S.; Cavalier, E.; Reginster, J.-Y.; Alokail, M.; Ladang, A.; Tenti, S.; Bedogni, G. Can Circulating MicroRNAs, Cytokines, and Adipokines Help to Differentiate Psoriatic Arthritis from Erosive Osteoarthritis of the Hand? A Case–Control Study. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2025, *26*, 4621. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms26104621

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The hand is a common site of osteoarthritis (OA) and carries a significant medical burden, causing persistent pain and functional limitations in everyday activities, leading to diminished quality of life [1–3]. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study, hand osteoarthritis (HOA) represented 24% of all cases of OA in 2019, with an incidence rising from 371 million cases in 1990 to 676 million cases in 2019 [4,5]; moreover, cases of HOA are projected to increase by nearly 50% by 2050 [6].

Erosive osteoarthritis of the hand (EHOA) is a rare subset of HOA that affects mainly postmenopausal middle-aged women. It is characterized by prominent signs of inflammation, severe progression, and peculiar radiographic changes in the interphalangeal (IP) joints [7–10]. It is currently debated whether EHOA is an advanced stage of classical HOA or a separate entity with typical inflammatory characteristics that can mimic chronic arthritis, such as psoriatic arthritis (PsA) [11,12].

PsA is a heterogeneous musculoskeletal inflammatory condition that can affect up to 30% of psoriasis patients [13]. It has various clinical features, including peripheral arthritis, spinal spondylitis, asymmetrical synovitis, enthesitis, and dactylitis [14,15]. Such heterogeneity and the absence of specific biomarkers make the diagnosis of PsA difficult. The CASPAR (Classification for Psoriatic Arthritis) criteria, which includes evidence of psoriasis (current, personal, or family history), dactylitis, nail dystrophy, a negative rheumatoid factor (RF), and radiographic evidence of new bone formation, is the current gold standard for diagnosing PsA [16].

Some features of PsA are found in other chronic musculoskeletal diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and EHOA, which makes it possible to delay the diagnosis and influence the success of treatment. The differential diagnosis of PsA and EHOA is especially challenging, considering that both conditions are characterized by bone proliferation and inflammation in the distal IP joints, and there are no diagnostic biomarkers [17].

The past decade has seen the emergence of microRNAs (miRNAs) as potential biomarkers for certain rheumatic diseases [18]. MiRNAs are small non-coding RNA molecules that control the expression of different target genes by repressing or inhibiting translation. Mature miRNAs are produced inside the cell and exert their function within the cytoplasm, but also by being released into the circulation and body fluids, where they regulate both physiological and pathological processes [19,20].

Specific miRNAs have been associated with the up-regulation of several inflammatory cytokines or degrading enzymes involved in the pathogenesis of PsA and OA [21,22]. Indeed, miRNAs have been detected in the plasma and synovial fluid of patients with PsA, and they are considered potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers [23–26]. Recently, Baloun et al. observed an elevated expression of a specific pattern of circulating miRNAs in patients with HOA compared to healthy individuals [27]. Auroux et al. further proposed that circulating miRNAs could serve as a diagnostic tool to distinguish EHOA from non-erosive HOA [28]. Notably, they identified an association between the down-regulation of miR-196a-5p and the presence of EHOA [28].

Adipokines, primarily produced by white adipose tissue, including adiponectin, leptin, visfatin, resistin, chemerin, and omentin, play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of inflammatory and degenerative musculoskeletal disorders [29–31]. Additionally, adipokines are potential biomarkers and pharmacological targets in both PsA and HOA [32,33]. In a previous study, we found that circulating miR-140 and leptin levels were elevated in patients with PsA compared to those with RA [34]. Thus, miR-140 and leptin could serve as potential biomarkers for differentiating between these two inflammatory diseases.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate whether a pattern of miRNAs, adipokines, and pro-inflammatory cytokines could help to differentiate EHOA from PsA. In detail, we

evaluated the expression profile of miR-21, miR-140, miR-146a, miR-155, miR-181a, and miR-223; interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-6, IL-17a, and IL-23a; and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of patients with EHOA, PsA, and healthy subjects (HS). Serum levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-17a, IL-23a, and TNF- α and adipokines (adiponectin, chemerin, leptin, resistin, and visfatin) were also investigated.

2. Results

2.1. Study Participants

Table 1 provides the main demographic and clinical features of the study subjects. All EHOA patients were negative for the rheumatoid factor (RF) and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies (ACPAs); 6% of PsA patients had a positive RF in the absence of ACPA. Age was significantly lower in HS than in EHOA and PsA patients, as well as in PsA vs. EHOA patients. Low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol was significantly higher in EHOA patients compared to HS and PsA patients. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C reactive protein (CRP) were higher in PsA compared to HS and EHOA.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical features of the study subjects.

	HS (<i>n</i> = 50)	EHOA (<i>n</i> = 50)	PsA (n = 50)	<i>p-</i> Value * HS vs. EHOA	<i>p-</i> Value * EHOA vs. PsA	<i>p-</i> Value * HS vs. PsA
Female sex	31 (62%)	40 (80%)	28 (56%)	0.047	0.010	0.54
Age (years)	48 (40;59)	68 (65;73)	58 (55;63)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Disease (months)	_	96 (60;120)	72 (48;96)	_	0.014	_
$BMI(kg/m^2)$	23.8 (21.9;25.2)	24.8 (22.5;26.3)	25.0 (23.2;26.8)	0.042	0.45	0.004
Smoking	13 (26%)	4 (8%)	19 (38%)	0.017	< 0.001	0.20
Hypertension	12 (24%)	24 (48%)	21 (42%)	0.012	0.55	0.056
Cardiovascular disease	10 (20%)	9 (18%)	18 (36%)	0.80	0.043	0.075
Type 2 diabetes mellitus	0 (0%)	7 (14%)	12 (24%)	0.006	0.20	< 0.001
Glucose (mg/dL)	87 (78;95)	95 (88;101)	90 (85;97)	0.001	0.016	0.15
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	180 (165;195)	210 (183;233)	182 (175;195)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.38
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	60 (52;65)	60 (51;69)	50 (42;57)	0.84	< 0.001	< 0.001
LDL cholesterol	99 (85;111)	140 (117;151)	105 (98;120)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.005
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	110 (88;134)	130 (91;156)	120 (98;138)	0.047	0.41	0.14
ESR (mm/h)	12 (8;18)	15 (9;24)	35 (25;42)	0.062	< 0.001	< 0.001
CRP (mg/dL)	0.1 (0.0;0.1)	0.2 (0.1;0.5)	0.9 (0.7;1.4)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
VAS pain (0–100)	_	50 (20;70)	38 (25;60)	_	0.079	
HAQ	0 (0;0)	1 (0;1)	1 (0;1)	< 0.001	0.41	< 0.001
Tender joints (number)	_	7 (5;8)	8 (4;12)	—	0.12	—
Swollen joints (number)	_	4 (2;6)	2 (1;3)	_	< 0.001	_
Kallman score	_	122 (98;150)	<u> </u>	_	_	_
DAPSA-CRP	_		18 (14;29)	_	_	_
DAS28-ESR	—	_	5 (4;6)	_	—	_
PASI	_	_	6 (4;10)	_	_	_

* Between-group comparisons performed with Mann–Whitney *U*-test for continuous variables and with Pearson's Chi-square test for categorical variables. Values are given as median and interquartile interval for continuous variables and as number and proportion for discrete variables. Legend: HS = healthy subjects; EHOA = erosive osteoarthritis of the hand; PsA = psoriatic arthritis; BMI = body mass index; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP = C-reactive protein; VAS = visual assessment scale; HAQ = Health Assessment Questionnaire; DAPSA = Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis; DAS28 = Disease Activity Score 28; PASI = Psoriasis Area Severity Index.

A significant difference in the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) score was detected between HS vs. EHOA patients and for HS vs. PsA patients.

2.2. MiRNAs, Cytokines, and Adipokines

Table 2 reports the gene expression of miRNAs and cytokines in PBMCs and the serum levels of cytokines and adipokines.

Gene expression showed a significant up-regulation of the analyzed miRNAs in EHOA and PsA patients as compared to HS. MiR-146a was significantly higher in PsA than in EHOA patients, while MiR-21 and MiR-155 were significantly up-regulated in EHOA vs. PsA patients. No significant differences were detected between EHOA and PsA patients for the expression profile of the remaining miRNAs. The expression levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α were similar in EHOA and PsA patients while IL-17a and IL-23a were significantly more up-regulated in PsA than in EHOA patients.

Serum levels of TNF- α were higher in EHOA than in PsA patients, while those of IL-23a were higher in PsA than in EHOA patients. Circulating levels of adiponectin, chemerin, leptin, resistin, and visfatin were significantly higher in PsA than in HS. EHOA patients had significantly higher values of adiponectin, chemerin, and resistin compared to HS. Leptin, resistin, and visfatin were significantly higher in PsA vs. EHOA patients.

Supplementary Figure S1 reports the association between miRNAs, cytokines, gene expression, and serum cytokines and adipokines, as detected by Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

2.3. Diagnostic Performance of miRNA and Cytokine Expression

The uni-variable logistic regression models used to evaluate the ability of miRNA and cytokine expression to discriminate EHOA from PsA are given in Table 3.

Among the gene expression profiles, miR-21, miR-146a, miR-155, TNF- α , IL-17a, and IL-23a were able to discriminate EHOA from PsA, with the corresponding probability curves plotted in Figure 1. miR-155 was the expression profile most strongly associated with EHOA vs. PsA (AIC = 89, BIC = 94, C-statistic = 0.89, and Nagelkerke R² = 0.55), followed by IL-23a (AIC = 117, BIC = 122, C-statistic = 0.79, and Nagelkerke R² = 0.30) and IL-17a (AIC = 128, BIC = 133, C-statistic = 0.74, and Nagelkerke R² = 0.18).



Figure 1. Probability of erosive osteoarthritis of the hand vs. psoriatic arthritis according to the expression levels of miR-21, miR-146a, miR-155, TNF- α , IL-17a, and IL-23a. The underlying logistic regression models are given in Table 3. Legend: EHOA = erosive osteoarthritis of the hand; RE = relative expression.

The bi-variable logistic regression models used to evaluate the ability of miRNA and cytokine expression profiles to discriminate EHOA from PsA after correction for a known or potential confounder [sex, age, disease duration, body mass index (BMI), tender joints, and log-transformed CRP (lnCRP)] are given in Supplementary Table S1. As a rule, the association of the predictor with the outcome changed little after controlling for each confounder. Importantly, lnCRP alone was superior to most expression profiles at discriminating EHOA from PsA (Model M8 of Supplementary Table S1). Even if miR-155 was inferior to lnCRP according to all metrics, their combination greatly improved the discrimination of EHOA from PsA as compared to lnCRP alone (AIC = 58 vs. 78, BIC = 66 vs. 83, c-statistic = 0.95 vs. 0.90, Nagelkerke $R^2 = 0.77$ vs. 0.64). The same pattern was observed for IL-23a, and to a lesser extent for IL-17a.

2.4. Diagnostic Performance of Serum Cytokines and Adipokines

The uni-variable logistic regression models used to evaluate the ability of serum cytokines and adipokines to discriminate EHOA from PsA are given in Table 4.

IL-6, TNF- α , IL-23a, chemerin, leptin, visfatin, and resistin were the cytokines and adipokines associated with the outcome, with the corresponding probability curves plotted in Figure 2. Among them, TNF- α had the strongest association with the outcome (AIC = 34, BIC = 39, C-statistic = 0.98, and Nagelkerke R² = 0.88), followed by leptin (AIC = 81, BIC = 86, C-statistic = 0.91, and Nagelkerke R² = 0.61) and visfatin (AIC = 86, BIC = 91, C-statistic = 0.89, and Nagelkerke R² = 0.58)



Figure 2. Probability of erosive osteoarthritis of the hand vs. psoriatic arthritis according to the serum levels of IL-6, TNF- α , IL-23a, adiponectin, chemerin, leptin, visfatin, and resistin. The underlying logistic regression models are given in Table 4. Legend: EHOA = erosive osteoarthritis of the hand.

	HS (<i>n</i> = 50)	EHOA (<i>n</i> = 50)	PsA (<i>n</i> = 50)	<i>p-</i> Value HS vs. EHOA *	<i>p</i> -Value EHOA vs. PsA *	<i>p</i> -Value HS vs. PsA *			
miR-21 (RE)	0.43 (0.27;0.81)	1.19 (0.89;1.84)	0.92 (0.57;1.28)	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001			
miR-140 (RE)	0.73 (0.48;1.12)	1.75 (0.94;2.04)	1.55 (0.95;2.10)	< 0.001	0.58	< 0.001			
miR-146a (RE)	0.74 (0.28;0.93)	1.13 (0.75;1.98)	1.86 (1.50;2.54)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			
miR-155 (RE)	0.56 (0.30;0.75)	2.76 (2.12;3.23)	1.39 (0.92;1.84)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			
miR-181b (RE)	0.80 (0.70;0.95)	3.43 (2.54;4.07)	2.97 (2.05;4.18)	< 0.001	0.11	< 0.001			
miR-223 (RE)	1.19 (0.82;1.48)	2.30 (1.68;2.89)	2.44 (1.82;3.04)	< 0.001	0.67	< 0.001			
IL-1β (RE)	0.82 (0.42;0.98)	1.03 (0.83;1.84)	1.31 (0.92;1.76)	< 0.001	0.59	< 0.001			
IL-6 (RE)	0.31 (0.22;0.52)	1.10 (0.66;2.03)	1.58 (0.93;2.02)	< 0.001	0.32	< 0.001			
IL-17a (RE)	0.27 (0.15;0.41)	1.19 (0.89;1.93)	2.03 (1.46;2.40)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			
IL-23a (RE)	0.30 (0.18;0.52)	1.21 (0.90;2.04)	2.31 (1.80;3.24)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			
TNF- α (RE)	0.57 (0.29;0.94)	1.49 (0.90;2.02)	1.03 (0.80;1.79)	< 0.001	0.047	< 0.001			
IL1-β (pg/mL)	16.6 (12.1;23.1)	22.2 (18.4;26.9)	21.9 (16.9;27.1)	< 0.001	0.43	0.002			
IL-6 (pg/mL)	23.4 (17.2;30.7)	94.7 (71.1;110.3)	79.6 (66.1;100.1)	< 0.001	0.004	< 0.001			
IL-17a (pg/mL)	46.3 (37.6;55.3)	70.0 (58.4;79.2)	71.8 (59.7;81.9)	< 0.001	0.44	< 0.001			
IL-23a (pg/mL)	188.5 (171.0;201.0)	230.5 (195.0;266.0)	308.0 (256.0;338.0)	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001			
TNF- α (pg/mL)	26.4 (20.8;31.8)	187.8 (172.3;196.9)	130.3 (122.9;141.9)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			
Adiponectin (µg/mL)	43.73 (36.13;50.54)	49.49 (43.81;58.67)	51.33 (44.58;61.17)	< 0.001	0.30	< 0.001			
Chemerin (pg/mL)	34.82 (29.29;44.55)	61.40 (54.63;83.34)	74.44 (67.44;85.96)	< 0.001	0.002	< 0.001			
Leptin (pg/mL)	1716.12 (1492.12;1834.22)	1737.39 (1260.28;2033.62)	2637.39 (2282.95;2836.6)	0.35	< 0.001	< 0.001			
Resistin (pg/mL)	74.87 (58.14;89.13)	95.64 (79.61;112.37)	198.08 (174.09;230.83)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			
Visfatin (ng/mL)	1.88 (1.43;2.38)	1.78 (1.60;2.11)	2.95 (2.30;3.56)	0.35	<0.001	<0.001			

Table 2. MiRNA and cytokine expression and serum cytokines and adipokines of the study subjects.

* Between-group comparisons performed by Mann–Whitney *U*-test. Values are given as median and interquartile interval for continuous variables. Legend: HS = healthy subjects; EHOA = erosive osteoarthritis of the hand; PsA = psoriatic arthritis; RE = relative expression.

	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11
miR-21 (RE)	1.26 ** [0.46, 2.06]	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
miR-140 (RE)	_	0.23 [-0.36, 0.81]	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—
miR-146a (RE)	_	—	-0.74^{**} [-1.21, -0.26]	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	—
miR-155 (RE)	—	—	—	2.16 *** [1.35, 2.97]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
miR-181b (RE)	_	—	_	_	0.27 [-0.10, 0.63]	—	_	—	—	—	—
miR-223 (RE)	—	—	—	—	—	-0.11 [-0.60, 0.37]	—	—	—	—	—
IL-1 β (RE)	_	_	—	_	_	—	-0.14 [-0.77, 0.49]	_	—	—	—
IL-6 (RE)	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	-0.11 [-0.59, 0.36]	—	—	—
TNF-α (RE)	—	—		—	—	—	_	—	0.55 * [0.01, 1.10]	—	—
IL-17a (RE)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-1.03^{***} [-1.62, -0.45]	—
IL-23a (RE)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-1.21^{***}
Intercept	-1.47 ** [-2.46, -0.48]	-0.35 [-1.34, 0.64]	1.27 ** [0.38, 2.16]	-4.44 *** [-6.15, -2.73]	-0.85 [-2.09, 0.38]	0.27 [-0.94, 1.47]	0.20 [-0.75, 1.14]	0.16 [-0.61, 0.93]	-0.77 [-1.63, 0.08]	1.76 ** [0.69, 2.83]	[=1.76, =0.06] 2.39 *** [1.24, 3.54]
N	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
AIC	130	142	131	89	141	142	142	142	138	128	117
BIC	135	147	137	94	146	148	148	148	144	133	122
C-statistic	0.69	0.53	0.72	0.89	0.59	0.52	0.53	0.56	0.62	0.74	0.79
\mathbb{R}^2	0.16	0.01	0.14	0.55	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.18	0.30

Table 3. Ability of miRNA and cytokine expression to discriminate EHOA from PsA.

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Values are coefficients from logistic regression with 95% confidence intervals in brackets. Legend: EHOA = erosive osteoarthritis of the hand; PsA = psoriatic arthritis; AIC = Akaike information criterion; BIC = Bayesian information criterion; RE = relative expression; R^2 = Nagelkerke R^2 .

	M12	M13	M14	M15	M16	M17	M18	M19	M20	M21
IL1-β (pg/mL)	0.01 [-0.05, 0.07]									
IL-6 (pg/mL)		0.03 ** [0.01, 0.05]								
TNF- α (pg/mL)			0.18 *** [0.09, 0.27]							
IL-17a (pg/mL)				-0.01 [-0.04, 0.01]						
IL-23a (pg/mL)					-0.04^{***} [-0.05, -0.02]					
Adiponectin (μg/mL) Chemerin (pg/mL) Leptin (pg/mL)					[0.00, 0.02]	-0.03 [-0.07, 0.01]	-0.02 * [-0.05, -0.01]	-0.004 *** [-0.006, -0.003]		
Visfatin (ng/mL)									-2.72 *** [-3.77, -1.68]	
Resistin (pg/mL)										-0.05^{***} [$-0.07, -0.03$]
Intercept N AIC BIC C-statistic Nagelkerke R ²	$\begin{array}{c} -0.25 \\ [-1.61, 1.11] \\ 100 \\ 142 \\ 148 \\ 0.55 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -2.50 ** \\ [-4.31, -0.70] \\ 100 \\ 134 \\ 139 \\ 0.67 \\ 0.11 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -28.72^{***} \\ [-42.49, -14.95] \\ 100 \\ 34 \\ 39 \\ 0.98 \\ 0.88 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.98\\ [-1.04, 3.00]\\ 100\\ 142\\ 147\\ 0.54\\ 0.01 \end{array}$	9.90 *** [6.07, 13.72] 100 92 97 0.87 0.53	$\begin{array}{c} 1.47 \\ [-0.59, 3.52] \\ 100 \\ 141 \\ 146 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.78 \\ [0.13, 3.43] \\ 100 \\ 137 \\ 143 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	8.78 *** [5.39, 12.17] 100 81 86 0.91 0.61	6.29 *** [3.90, 8.68] 100 86 91 0.89 0.58	6.91 *** [4.47, 9.34] 100 63 68 0.95 0.73

Table 4. Ability of serum cytokines and adipokines to discriminate EHOA from PsA.

* *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001. Values are coefficients from logistic regression with 95% confidence intervals in brackets. Legend: EHOA = erosive osteoarthritis of the hand; PsA = psoriatic arthritis.

3. Discussion

Distinguishing between EHOA and PsA in the presence of peripheral arthritis can be challenging for physicians managing musculoskeletal disorders. Both diseases are, in fact, characterized by a prominent involvement of the distal IP joints of the hand and share similar clinical features, such as joint swelling, pain, tenderness, and the development of severe deformities with considerable functional limitations [9,12]. Moreover, the lack of specific autoantibodies and the inconsistent increase in acute-phase reactants in PsA and in EHOA make it more difficult to differentiate the two diseases [35,36]. Since the therapeutic options for PsA and EHOA are very different, a correct early diagnosis is essential for the success of the pharmacological treatments and to limit the progression of joint damage [12]. These considerations underscore the urgent need to identify novel, specific, and reliable biomarkers that can enhance diagnostic accuracy.

In this study, we investigated the potential of a panel of miRNAs and pro-inflammatory cytokines in PBMCs from patients with EHOA and PsA, as well as the serum levels of a specific pattern of cytokines and adipokines, to differentiate between the two diseases. In this study, we investigated the potential of a panel of miRNAs and pro-inflammatory cytokines in PBMCs from patients with EHOA and PsA, as well as the serum levels of a specific pattern of cytokines and adipokines, to differentiate between the two diseases. Increasing evidence supports the critical role of miRNAs in the pathogenesis of several musculoskeletal diseases, including PsA and OA. In recent years, circulating miRNAs have emerged as potential biomarkers for diagnosing and predicting the prognosis of various pathological conditions, including cardiovascular, neurological, oncological, metabolic, and rheumatological diseases. In fact, miRNAs are highly stable in biological fluids and resistant to endogenous ribonuclease activity, as well as to extreme conditions such as high temperatures, long-term storage, and repeated freeze–thaw cycles. Additionally, circulating miRNAs are easily accessible and can be measured with high sensitivity [21,26,34,37–40].

A recent study evaluated the profile of circulating miRNAs in 96 patients with EHOA, 73 patients with non-erosive HOA, and 69 HS [27]. Through a low-density array analysis of a large series of miRNAs, followed by a two-phase validation process, the authors discovered higher levels of miR-23a-3p, miR-146a-5p, and miR-652-3p in patients with non-erosive HOA and EHOA while no differences were detected between the two HOA groups [27]. Moreover, the same miRNAs were positively correlated with the Australian/Canadian Hand Osteoarthritis index (AUSCAN) sum score and with the AUSCAN score for pain. Interestingly, miR-222-3p exhibited an inverse correlation with the Kallman score [27]. Auroux et al. evaluated the circulating miRNA signature in patients with erosive and non-erosive HOA, showing a significant down-regulation of miR-196-5p in EHOA without any association with clinical symptoms [28].

The utility of miRNAs as biomarkers in PsA is less extensively explored compared to OA or other rheumatological diseases like RA or ankylosing spondylitis. The earliest clinical study that investigated the expression profile of a specific pattern of miRNAs in a PsA population was performed in 2017 [41]. The authors conducted a microarray analysis to identify differentially expressed miRNAs in the PBMCs of patients with PsA compared to HS. Among the identified miRNAs, miR-21-5p exhibited a significant up-regulation in PsA patients. This miRNA was believed to serve as a marker for treatment response, as its expression decreased after 12 weeks of treatment with methotrexate or etanercept and was correlated with a reduction in the Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) score [41]. A subsequent study analyzing the expression profile of miRNAs in patients with active or inactive PsA revealed distinct miRNA expression profiles for each of the two distinct clinical phases of the disease [42]. More recently, several studies identified other miRNAs (miR-21, miR-23a, miR-26a, miR-130, miR-140, miR-146a, miR-151, miR-155,

miR-181-a, miR-221, miR-223, let7-e, and miR-6891-3p) as potential biomarkers to diagnose PsA and to monitor disease activity [23,25,26,43–45].

In a previous study, we explored the ability of a specific pattern of miRNAs (miR-21, miR-140, miR-146a, miR-155, miR-181b, miR-223, and miR-let-7e) in distinguishing PsA from RA [34]. Consistent with the current findings, we found a dysregulation of all the examined miRNAs in patients with PsA compared to HS. In the present study, we found a notable up-regulation in the gene expression of the considered miRNAs (miR-21, miR-140, miR-146a, miR-155, miR-181b, miR-223) in patients with PsA and EHOA compared to HS. Additionally, we observed a significant increase in the gene expression of miR-146a in patients with PsA compared to EHOA, while miR-155 and miR-21 showed a higher expression in EHOA compared to PsA.

In our experience, miR-155 stood out as the most effective miRNA in distinguishing EHOA from PsA. MiR-155 has garnered considerable attention due to its involvement in various pathological conditions. Dysregulation of miR-155 has been reported in many inflammatory autoimmune diseases, including RA, systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis, Sjögren's syndrome, systemic sclerosis, and inflammatory bowel disease [22,46]. Indeed, various studies have demonstrated that miR-155 is one of the most up-regulated miRNAs in human OA cartilage and plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of its disease by regulating essential cellular mechanisms, including the proliferation, apoptosis, pyroptosis, differentiation, growth, and migration of chondrocytes [47,48]. In OA, miR-155 was shown to act through different signaling cascades, such as the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, to regulate chondrocyte proliferation and apoptosis and contribute to extracellular matrix degradation [49].

Clinical data on circulating levels of miR-155 in OA are limited to a few studies in gonarthrosis. Our study is the first to explore the gene expression of miR-155 in EOHA and our findings align with previous reports. Okuhara et al. [50] and Soyocak et al. [51] reported an increased expression of miR-155 in the PBMCs of patients with knee OA compared to healthy subjects. Both research groups also observed a correlation between miR-155 levels and the later stages of the disease. Giannitti et al. further demonstrated that miR-155 could serve as a reliable marker for therapeutic response in patients with knee OA treated with mud bath therapy [52]. Taken together, these data suggest the broad relevance of miR-155 in the development and progression of osteoarthritis (OA) not only in the hand but also in other joints. The up-regulation of miR-155 may partially explain our findings of the high gene expression and elevated serum levels of cytokines IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α in EHOA. As reported by O'Connell et al., this miRNA stimulates dendritic cells to produce specific cytokines essential for the development of inflammatory T cells [53]. Further research confirms that the up-regulation of miR-155 led to the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by targeting Src homology 2-containing inositol phosphatase-1 (SHIP-1), an inhibitor of inflammation [54,55].

Moreover, we demonstrated for the first time that miR-21 is up-regulated in patients with EHOA compared to HS and PsA patients. MiR-21 plays a crucial role in inflammation and may represent a potential therapeutic target in OA [56,57]. Wang et al. found a substantial increase in miR-21 levels in OA cartilage compared to cartilage from patients who had experienced traumatic events without a history of OA [58]. Mir-21, abundant in synovial tissue and fluid, may contribute to knee OA pain by activating Toll-like receptor (TLR) 7 [59,60].

In agreement with the previous literature, we confirmed the up-regulation of miR-146a in PsA patients compared to HS and its potential role as a biomarker of the disease [23,26,41]. Moreover, our findings suggest that miR-146a may be useful in discriminating EHOA from PSA.

Studies exploring gene expression and/or serum levels of inflammatory cytokines in EHOA have yielded conflicting results, likely due to the diverse clinical characteristics of the analyzed patients [61,62]. Recently, McAlindon et al. observed a strong and consistent association between elevated serum levels of IL-7, a cytokine that induces inflammation, cartilage destruction, and bone loss, and incident radiographic evidence of EHOA [63].

Surprisingly, our study found higher serum levels of TNF- α in EHOA compared to PsA. Moreover, TNF- α could effectively discriminate EHOA from PsA. It is challenging to explain these results, even though EHOA is characterized by clinical and radiographic inflammatory hallmarks [64]. Regrettably, we did not conduct any ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging studies to assess the presence and severity of inflammation. Therefore, we cannot entirely rule out the possibility that our results may be partially influenced by the relatively low inflammatory activity in patients with PsA. Our findings regarding serum levels of TNF- α in EHOA warrant further investigation in larger studies and with appropriate imaging assessments. If confirmed, these findings could pave the way for novel therapeutic perspectives, particularly for a targeted (precision) treatment of EHOA. Unfortunately, most of the currently available conventional and biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs have failed to yield significant clinical benefits [9,65].

In line with the existing literature, our study underscores the crucial role of IL-17a and IL-23a in the pathogenesis of PsA, as well as their potential as biomarkers and therapeutic targets for the disease [66].

In the present study, we also analyzed a panel of adipokines and observed higher levels of adiponectin, chemerin, leptin, resistin, and visfatin in patients with PsA compared to HS. The literature on circulating adipokines in PsA and EHOA is limited and frequently contradictory [30,32,63,67]. This may be due to differences in patient demographic and clinical features. Several factors, such as age, gender, smoking habits, disease duration, radiographic features, obesity, metabolic syndrome, and concomitant comorbidities, are indeed known to affect circulating adipokines [68,69].

In the present study, InCRP had greater discriminatory power compared to all miRNAs in distinguishing EHOA from PsA. This finding aligns with a substantial body of the literature, as CRP is a well-established non-specific marker of active inflammation. CRP has been suggested as a laboratory marker for PsA and is included in the most used response criteria for PsA, such as the ACR response and the Disease Activity Score 28 with CRP (DAS28-CRP) [70]. However, the association of CRP with EHOA remains a subject of debate. Some studies have reported higher CRP levels in EHOA compared to its non-erosive counterpart, while others have observed no significant differences, or even opposite results [71,72]. We found that the combination of miR-155 with In-CRP enhanced the discriminatory ability of EHOA from PsA.

Overall, the present study supported the role of MiRNAs as promising biomarkers for distinguishing EHOA from PsA. However, their practical application is still limited by several factors, including the absence of a standardized protocol for detecting and quantifying circulating miRNAs. This could explain the significant variability and heterogeneity of the results reported in the literature [18,24]. Furthermore, incorporating miRNA biomarkers into routine practice necessitates an evaluation of their feasibility and cost-effectiveness compared to other laboratory tests or imaging techniques.

The present study had some limitations. Firstly, the sample size was relatively small, owing to the stringent inclusion criteria and the need to minimize any potential interference from pharmacological agents on miRNAs, cytokines, and adipokines. Secondly, the absence of patients with PsA without psoriasis, i.e., "sine psoriasis", and the lack of comparison with a group exclusively affected by cutaneous lesions, further limited the study's generalizability. Thirdly, despite our best efforts to match patients and controls, the median

age differed among the study groups. Lastly, the case–control design, while an effective approach for generating hypotheses, required further validation through cross-sectional and possibly cohort studies where the diagnosis is not yet known [73].

In conclusion, we identified miR-155 as a biomarker capable of distinguishing EHOA from PsA. When combined with ln-CRP, its diagnostic performance is improved. However, external validation of our findings is necessary to confirm that these biomarkers offer a simple and reliable tool for accurate diagnosis, particularly in the early stages of the disease.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Study Design

From September 2018 to December 2021, a case–control study was conducted on outpatients seen at the Center for the Diagnosis and Management of Hand Osteoarthritis, Rheumatology Unit of the Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese (Siena-Italy). All participants gave written informed consent, and their records were anonymized before data analysis. The study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee (decision number 14047-2018) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was registered on http://www.clinicaltrials.gov with number NCT05683769 (accessed on 1 September 2018).

4.2. Study Participants

The study involved 50 patients with EHOA, 50 with PsA, and 50 HS. The patients with PsA and the HS had been studied before [34]. As in our previous study [34], the HS were analyzed to obtain an idea of the values of the biomarkers in a healthy population. The case–control study was conducted to determine whether PsA can be distinguished from EHOA by utilizing such biomarkers. To accomplish this objective, "cases" were defined as patients with EHOA and "controls" as those with PsA.

The inclusion criteria for PsA patients and for HS were reported in our previous study [34]. In detail, patients with PsA who met the CASPAR classification criteria and exhibited a peripheral arthritis pattern were included in the study [16]. All the subjects had at least a moderate degree of disease activity defined by DAPSA and received a diagnosis of psoriasis. They were naïve to conventional and biologic diseases-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs and were only undergoing topical treatment for cutaneous lesions. The diagnosis of EHOA was based on the American College of Rheumatology criteria for HOA [74] and the presence of classical central erosion in at least two IP joints [7,75] in a blind observation. Disagreements were resolved by a third examiner (J-Y.R.). The Kallman score assessed osteophytes (0–3) and lateral deformities (0–1) in 20 joints; joint space narrowing (0–3), subchondral sclerosis (0–1), and subchondral cysts (0–1) in 22 joints; and erosions (0–1) in 18 joints, resulting in a score ranging from 0 to 198 [76].

Exclusion criteria were inflammatory rheumatic and bowel diseases, autoimmune and endocrine disorders, and other rheumatic and non-rheumatic conditions that could affect the functionality of the peripheral joints, such as tendinopathies, carpal tunnel syndrome, Dupuytren's contracture, collagen and neurological disorders, or arthroplasty of the upper and lower limbs. Other exclusion criteria were diabetes mellitus, liver and kidney diseases, acute or chronic infectious disorders, cancer within the past 5 years, pregnancy and breastfeeding, a BMI > 30 kg/m^2 , the use of anti-obesity medications, and recent trauma or surgery to the affected joints. Patients with pure or mixed axial involvement were also excluded. Additionally, patients who had received systemic or intra-articular (i.a.) corticosteroids or i.a. hyaluronic acid within the past 3 months, or intra-muscular or intra-venous bisphosphonates within the past 6 months, were also excluded [77].

4.3. Clinical Examination

The clinical examination was performed by two expert rheumatologists (AF, ST). Weight and height were measured following international guidelines [78]. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m)² and classified according to the National Institutes of Health [79].

4.4. Questionnaires and Scales

The global joint pain was calculated using a 0 to 100 mm visual analog scale (VAS), with 0 representing the absence of pain and 100 the maximum imaginable pain. The general health status was measured using the Italian version of the HAQ. HAQ is a self-administered questionnaire developed to measure disability and consists of 8 sections: dressing, arising, eating, walking, hygiene, reach, grip, and activities, and ranges from 0 to 3, with 3 corresponding to the highest level of disability [80,81]. For EHOA patients, the functional disability of the hand was assessed using the Italian version of the functional index for hand osteoarthritis (FIHOA). The score ranges from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating the most severe functional impairment [82]. Moreover, the Kallman score was used for radiological evaluation [76]. The disease activity of PsA patients was evaluated using the DAPSA score. The cut points for low and high disease activity were set at 18.5 and 45.1, respectively [83]. The severity and extent of skin psoriasis in PsA patients was measured using the Psoriasis Area Severity Index (PASI), which has a maximum score of 72 [84].

4.5. Laboratory Analysis

Blood samples were drawn from an antecubital vein in the morning after an overnight fast. A portion of whole blood was immediately centrifuged to evaluate ESR, CRP, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and glucose. IgG, IgA, and IgM RF were assessed using commercial ELISA kits (Orgentec Diagnostica, Mainz, Germany). ACPAs were measured using the FEIA technique with the EliA system (Phadia Diagnostics, Freiburg, Germany) [35].

Serum samples were stored at -80 °C for the measurement of cytokines and adipokines using ELISA.

The isolation of PBMCs from whole blood was performed using the standard classical method of Ficoll density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Paque GE HealthCare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK), as per the manufacturer's instructions [34,85,86].

4.6. MiRNA and Cytokine Expression Analysis

Total RNA was extracted using the TriPure Isolation Reagent (Euroclone, Milan, Italy), following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration, purity, and integrity of the RNA were determined using a Nanodrop-1000 (Celbio, Milan, Italy), and their quality was verified by electrophoresis on an agarose gel (FlashGel System, Lonza, Rockland, ME, USA).

A total of 500 ng of RNA were reverse-transcribed into cDNA using a commercial kit for miRNAs (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), as per the manufacturer's instructions. The obtained cDNA was processed through real-time PCR using a kit that utilized the SYBR Green assay (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All PCR reactions were prepared in glass capillaries and analyzed using a LightCycler 1.0 instrument (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) coupled with LightCycler software Version 3.5. The list of primers employed for the PCR reactions is provided in Supplementary Table S2.

To verify the correct amplification of the PCR products, the analysis of the dissociation curves was performed to visualize the amplicon lengths in agarose gel. The Ct values and efficiency of the primer set were evaluated and converted into the relative expression (RE) [87,88]. Data normalization was assessed using Small Nucleolar RNA, C/D Box 25 (SNORD-25) as a housekeeping gene for miRNAs, and Actin Beta (ACTB) as a housekeeping gene for target genes [34,89].

4.7. Serum Cytokines and Adipokines

The methods for the of measurement of cytokines and adipokines have been reported in detail elsewhere [34].

Serum levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α were determined using a Human Picokine ELISA kit (Boster Biological Technology, Pleasanton, CA, USA). The IL-1 β kit had a sensitivity of 0.15 pg/mL, with inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation (CVs) ranging from 5.7% to 8.9%. The IL-6 kit had a sensitivity of 0.3 pg/mL, with inter- and intra-assay CVs of 7.2% to 8.6%. The TNF- α kit had a sensitivity of 0.1 pg/mL, with inter- and intra-assay CVs of 5.4% to 6.4%.

Serum IL-17a was measured using the Cymax Human IL-17a ELISA kit (AbFRONTIER, Vinci-Biochem, Firenze, Italy), with a sensitivity of 2.134 pg/mL and inter- and intra-assay CVs of 4.42% to 6.35%.

Serum IL-23a was measured using the Human IL-23 ELISA Kit ab64708 (Abcam, Milan, Italy), with a sensitivity of 20 pg/mL and a detection range of 156.2 to 5000 pg/mL.

Serum adiponectin was measured using the Human Adiponectin ELISA kit (AdipoGen Life Sciences, Liestal, Switzerland), with a sensitivity of 100 pg/mL and inter- and intraassay CVs of 2.8% to 5.5%.

Serum chemerin was measured using the Human Picokine ELISA kit (Boster Biological Technology, Pleasanton, CA, USA), with a sensitivity of 20 pg/mL and inter- and intra-assay CVs of 6.0% to 9.3% [34].

Serum leptin was measured using the Human Picokine ELISA kit (Boster Biological Technology, Pleasanton, CA, USA), with a sensitivity of 10 pg/mL and inter- and intra-assay CVs of 7.0–8.4% and 5.2–7.6%, respectively.

Serum resistin was measured using the Human Resistin ELISA kit (AdipoGen Life Sciences, Liestal, Switzerland), with a sensitivity of 3 pg/mL and inter- and intra-assay CVs of 4.20–7.20% and 2.86–5.17%, respectively [34].

Lastly, serum visfatin was measured using the Human Nampt (Visfatin/PBEF) ELISA kit (AdipoGen Life Sciences, Liestal, Switzerland), with a sensitivity of 30 pg/mL and interand intra-assay CVs of 4.66–7.40% and 2.31–9.11%, respectively.

4.8. Statistical Analysis

Most continuous variables were not Gaussian-distributed, and all are reported as the median (50th percentile) and interquartile interval (IQI, 25th and 75th percentile). Discrete variables are reported as the number and proportion of subjects with the characteristic of interest.

Between-group comparisons of continuous variables were performed using the Mann– Whitney *U*-test and those of discrete variables using Pearson's Chi-square test.

The association between miRNAs, cytokines, and adipokines was evaluated using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

CRP was transformed by adding 0.01 to its value, which ranged from 0 to 2.9, and then log-transformed by taking the natural logarithm (ln) of the resultant value. This transformation reduced the skewness of CRP and allowed for the assumptions made by the uni-variable and bi-variable logistic regression models which used it as a predictor to be met. Uni-variable logistic regression models were used to evaluate the ability of continuous miRNAs to discriminate EHOA from PsA [90]. Six prespecified bi-variable logistic regression models were used to evaluate the ability of each miRNA to discriminate EHOA from PsA after correction for a potential predictor, i.e., sex (discrete: 0 = no; 1 = yes), age (continuous, years), disease duration (continuous, months), BMI (continuous, kg/m²), tender joints (continuous), and ln-CRP (continuous, U/L) [34,90]. We evaluated the linearity of the logits using scatterplots and uni- and multi-variable fractional polynomials [34,91]. There was no or a very modest increase in model fit using logit transformations, so that logits were kept linear and were modeled as such.

We compared the logistic regression models using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and additionally calculated the Nagelkerke pseudo-R² and Harrell's C-statistic. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 18.5 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms26104621/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.F.; Patient evaluation: S.T.; Radiological evaluation: J.-Y.R.; Laboratory investigation, S.C.; Methodology, J.-Y.R., A.L., M.A. and E.C.; Statistical analysis: G.B.; Supervision, A.F., J.-Y.R., A.L. and E.C.; Writing—original draft, A.F., G.B., S.C. and S.T.; Writing—review and editing, A.F. and G.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding. The contributions of Professor Majed Alokail and Jean-Yves Reginster were supported by the Distinguished Scientist Fellowship Program (DSFP) of the King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee (decision number 14047-2018) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was registered on http://www.clinicaltrials.gov with number NCT05683769 (accessed on 1 September 2018).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data and the code used in the current study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the reviewers for their very helpful comments on this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Auroux, M.; Merle, B.; Fontanges, E.; Duvert, F.; Lespessailles, E.; Chapurlat, R. The disability associated with hand osteoarthritis is substantial in a cohort of post-menopausal women: The QUALYOR study. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2022, 30, 1526–1535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marshall, M.; Watt, F.E.; Vincent, T.L.; Dziedzic, K. Hand osteoarthritis: Clinical phenotypes, molecular mechanisms and disease management. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 2018, 14, 641–656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tenti, S.; Ferretti, F.; Gusinu, R.; Gallo, I.; Giannotti, S.; Pozza, A.; Fioravanti, A.; Coluccia, A. Impact of thumb osteoarthritis on pain, function, and quality of life: A comparative study between erosive and non-erosive hand osteoarthritis. *Clin. Rheumatol.* 2020, *39*, 2195–2206. [CrossRef]
- 4. Wan, J.; Qian, X.; He, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Cheng, P.; Chen, A. Epidemiological trends of hand osteoarthritis from 1990 to 2019: Estimates from the 2019 Global Burden of Disease study. *Front. Med.* **2022**, *9*, 922321. [CrossRef]
- Long, H.; Liu, Q.; Yin, H.; Wang, K.; Diao, N.; Zhang, Y.; Lin, J.; Guo, A. Prevalence trends of site-specific osteoarthritis from 1990 to 2019: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2022, 74, 1172–1183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Global Burden of Disease Study Group. Global, regional, and national burden of osteoarthritis, 1990–2020 and projections to 2050: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet Rheumatol.* 2023, *5*, e508–e522. [CrossRef]

- Addimanda, O.; Mancarella, L.; Dolzani, P.; Punzi, L.; Fioravanti, A.; Pignotti, E.; Meliconi, R. Clinical and radiographic distribution of structural damage in erosive and nonerosive hand osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2012, 64, 1046–1053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McAlindon, T.E.; Driban, J.B.; Roberts, M.B.; Duryea, J.; Haugen, I.K.; Schaefer, L.F.; Smith, S.E.; Mathiessen, A.; Eaton, C. Erosive hand osteoarthritis: Incidence and Predictive characteristics among participants in the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2021, 73, 2015–2024. [CrossRef]
- 9. Favero, M.; Belluzzi, E.; Ortolan, A.; Lorenzin, M.; Oliviero, F.; Doria, A.; Scanzello, C.R.; Ramonda, R. Erosive hand osteoarthritis: Latest findings and outlook. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **2022**, *18*, 171–183. [CrossRef]
- 10. Styrkarsdottir, U.; Stefansdottir, L.; Thorleifsson, G.; Stefansson, O.A.; Saevarsdottir, S.; Lund, S.H.; Rafnar, T.; Hoshijima, K.; Novak, K.; Oreiro, N.; et al. Meta-analysis of erosive hand osteoarthritis identifies four common variants that associate with relatively large effect. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2023**, *82*, 873–880. [CrossRef]
- 11. Marshall, M.; Nicholls, E.; Kwok, W.Y.; Peat, G.; Kloppenburg, M.; van der Windt, D.; Myers, H.; Dziedzic, K. Erosive osteoarthritis: A more severe form of radiographic hand osteoarthritis rather than a distinct entity? *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2015**, *74*, 136–141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Poletto, E.; Tinazzi, I.; Marchetta, A.; Smania, N.; Rossato, E. Hand erosive osteoarthritis and distal interphalangeal involvement in Psoriatic Arthritis: the Place of conservative therapy. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 2630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Alinaghi, F.; Calov, M.; Kristensen, L.E.; Gladman, D.D.; Coates, L.C.; Jullien, D.; Gottlieb, A.B.; Gisondi, P.; Wu, J.J.; Thyssen, J.P.; et al. Prevalence of psoriatic arthritis in patients with psoriasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational and clinical studies. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2019**, *80*, 251–265.e19. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hioki, T.; Komine, M.; Ohtsuki, M. Diagnosis and intervention in early Psoriatic Arthritis. J. Clin. Med. 2022, 11, 2051. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Schett, G.; Rahman, P.; Ritchlin, C.; McInnes, I.B.; Elewaut, D.; Scher, J.U. Psoriatic arthritis from a mechanistic perspective. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **2022**, *18*, 311–325. [CrossRef]
- 16. Taylor, W.; Gladman, D.; Helliwell, P.; Marchesoni, A.; Mease, P.; Mielants, H. Classification criteria for psoriatic arthritis: Development of new criteria from a large international study. *Arthritis Rheum.* **2006**, *54*, 2665–2673. [CrossRef]
- Guldberg-Moller, J.; Mogensen, M.; Ellegaard, K.; Zavareh, A.; Wakefield, R.J.; Tan, A.L.; Boesen, M.; Dehmeshki, J.; Kubassova, O.; Dreyer, L.; et al. Multimodal imaging of the distal interphalangeal-joint synovio-entheseal complex in psoriatic arthritis (MIDAS): A cross-sectional study on the diagnostic accuracy of different imaging modalities comparing psoriatic arthritis to psoriasis and osteoarthritis. *RMD Open* 2022, *8*, e002109. [CrossRef]
- Prajzlerova, K.; Senolt, L.; Filkova, M. Is there a potential of circulating miRNAs as biomarkers in rheumatic diseases? *Genes Dis.* 2023, 10, 1263–1278. [CrossRef]
- 19. Shang, R.; Lee, S.; Senavirathne, G.; Lai, E.C. microRNAs in action: Biogenesis, function and regulation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2023, 24, 816–833. [CrossRef]
- 20. Nemeth, K.; Bayraktar, R.; Ferracin, M.; Calin, G.A. Non-coding RNAs in disease: From mechanisms to therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2024, 25, 211–232. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ali, S.A.; Peffers, M.J.; Ormseth, M.J.; Jurisica, I.; Kapoor, M. The non-coding RNA interactome in joint health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **2021**, *17*, 692–705. [CrossRef]
- Shaikh, F.S.; Siegel, R.J.; Srivastava, A.; Fox, D.A.; Ahmed, S. Challenges and promise of targeting miRNA in rheumatic diseases: A computational approach to identify miRNA association with cell types, cytokines, and disease mechanisms. *Front. Immunol.* 2023, 14, 1322806. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Wade, S.M.; McGarry, T.; Wade, S.C.; Fearon, U.; Veale, D.J. Serum MicroRNA Signature as a diagnostic and therapeutic marker in patients with Psoriatic Arthritis. *J. Rheumatol.* **2020**, *47*, 1760–1767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Motta, F.; Pederzani, A.; Carena, M.C.; Ceribelli, A.; Wordsworth, P.B.; De Santis, M.; Selmi, C.; Vecellio, M. MicroRNAs in Axial Spondylarthritis: An overview of the recent progresses in the field with a focus on Ankylosing Spondylitis and Psoriatic Arthritis. *Curr. Rheumatol. Rep.* 2021, 23, 59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bonek, K.; Kuca Warnawin, E.; Kornatka, A.; Plebanczyk, M.; Burakowski, T.; Maslinski, W.; Wislowska, M.; Gluszko, P.; Ciechomska, M. Circulating miRNA Correlates with lipid profile and disease activity in psoriatic arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis patients. *Biomedicines* 2022, 10, 893. [CrossRef]
- Haschka, J.; Simon, D.; Bayat, S.; Messner, Z.; Kampylafka, E.; Fagni, F.; Skalicky, S.; Hackl, M.; Resch, H.; Zwerina, J.; et al. Identification of circulating microRNA patterns in patients in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2023, 62, 3448–3458. [CrossRef]
- 27. Baloun, J.; Pekacova, A.; Svec, X.; Kropackova, T.; Horvathova, V.; Hulejova, H.; Prajzlerova, K.; Ruzickova, O.; Sleglova, O.; Gatterova, J.; et al. Circulating miRNAs in hand osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* **2023**, *31*, 228–237. [CrossRef]

- Auroux, M.; Millet, M.; Merle, B.; Fontanges, E.; Duvert, F.; Gineyts, E.; Rousseau, J.C.; Borel, O.; Mercier-Guery, A.; Lespessailles, E.; et al. Evaluation of circulating microRNA signature in patients with erosive hand osteoarthritis: The HOAmiR study. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2024, *32*, 1452–1462. [CrossRef]
- 29. Zapata-Linares, N.; Eymard, F.; Berenbaum, F.; Houard, X. Role of adipose tissues in osteoarthritis. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* 2021, 33, 84–93. [CrossRef]
- 30. Toussirot, E. The influence of adipokines on radiographic damage in inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 536. [CrossRef]
- Giardullo, L.; Corrado, A.; Maruotti, N.; Cici, D.; Mansueto, N.; Cantatore, F.P. Adipokine role in physiopathology of inflammatory and degenerative musculoskeletal diseases. *Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol.* 2021, 35, 20587384211015034. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Fioravanti, A.; Cheleschi, S.; De Palma, A.; Addimanda, O.; Mancarella, L.; Pignotti, E.; Pulsatelli, L.; Galeazzi, M.; Meliconi, R. Can adipokines serum levels be used as biomarkers of hand osteoarthritis? *Biomarkers* **2018**, *23*, 265–270. [CrossRef]
- 33. Feld, J.; Nissan, S.; Eder, L.; Rahat, M.A.; Elias, M.; Rimar, D.; Laor, A.; Bitterman, H.; Zisman, D. Increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome and adipocytokine levels in a psoriatic arthritis cohort. *J. Clin. Rheumatol.* **2018**, 24, 302–307. [CrossRef]
- 34. Cheleschi, S.; Tenti, S.; Bedogni, G.; Fioravanti, A. Circulating Mir-140 and leptin improve the accuracy of the differential diagnosis between psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: A case-control study. *Transl. Res.* **2022**, *239*, 18–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Morozzi, G.; Bellisai, F.; Fioravanti, A.; Galeazzi, M. Absence of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies in erosive osteoarthritis: Further serological evidence of the disease as a subset of osteoarthritis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2005**, *64*, 1095–1096. [CrossRef]
- Sokolova, M.V.; Simon, D.; Nas, K.; Zaiss, M.M.; Luo, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Rech, J.; Schett, G. A set of serum markers detecting systemic inflammation in psoriatic skin, entheseal, and joint disease in the absence of C-reactive protein and its link to clinical disease manifestations. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2020, 22, 26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Murata, K.; Yoshitomi, H.; Tanida, S.; Ishikawa, M.; Nishitani, K.; Ito, H.; Nakamura, T. Plasma and synovial fluid microRNAs as potential biomarkers of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **2010**, *12*, R86. [CrossRef]
- 38. Rocha, F.A.C.; Ali, S.A. Soluble biomarkers in osteoarthritis in 2022: Year in review. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2023, 31, 167–176. [CrossRef]
- 39. Felekkis, K.; Pieri, M.; Papaneophytou, C. Exploring the feasibility of circulating miRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in osteoarthritis: Challenges and Opportunities. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 13144. [CrossRef]
- 40. Millet, M.; Auroux, M.; Beaudart, C.; Demonceau, C.; Ladang, A.; Cavalier, E.; Reginster, J.Y.; Bruyere, O.; Chapurlat, R.; Rousseau, J.C. Association of circulating hsa-miRNAs with sarcopenia: The SarcoPhAge study. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* **2024**, *36*, 70. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ciancio, G.; Ferracin, M.; Saccenti, E.; Bagnari, V.; Farina, I.; Furini, F.; Galuppi, E.; Zagatti, B.; Trotta, F.; Negrini, M.; et al. Characterisation of peripheral blood mononuclear cell microRNA in early onset psoriatic arthritis. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* **2017**, *35*, 113–121.
- 42. Pelosi, A.; Lunardi, C.; Fiore, P.F.; Tinazzi, E.; Patuzzo, G.; Argentino, G.; Moretta, F.; Puccetti, A.; Dolcino, M. MicroRNA expression profiling in psoriatic arthritis. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2018, 7305380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lin, S.H.; Ho, J.C.; Li, S.C.; Chen, J.F.; Hsiao, C.C.; Lee, C.H. MiR-146a-5p Expression in peripheral CD14(+) monocytes from patients with Psoriatic Arthritis induces osteoclast activation, bone resorption, and correlates with clinical response. *J. Clin. Med.* 2019, *8*, 110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wade, S.M.; Trenkmann, M.; McGarry, T.; Canavan, M.; Marzaioli, V.; Wade, S.C.; Veale, D.J.; Fearon, U. Altered expression of microRNA-23a in psoriatic arthritis modulates synovial fibroblast pro-inflammatory mechanisms via phosphodiesterase 4B. J. Autoimmun. 2019, 96, 86–93. [CrossRef]
- 45. Li, C.; Sun, Z. Role of miRNAs in the pathogenesis of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis: A genome-wide Mendelian randomization study. *Clin. Rheumatol.* **2025**, *44*, 1607–1616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, W.D.; Feng, S.Y.; Huang, A.F. Role of miR-155 in inflammatory autoimmune diseases: A comprehensive review. *Inflamm. Res.* 2022, 71, 1501–1517. [CrossRef]
- 47. Li, G.; Xiu, L.; Li, X.; Ma, L.; Zhou, J. miR-155 inhibits chondrocyte pyroptosis in knee osteoarthritis by targeting SMAD2 and inhibiting the NLRP3/Caspase-1 pathway. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2022**, *17*, 48. [CrossRef]
- 48. D'Adamo, S.; Alvarez-Garcia, O.; Muramatsu, Y.; Flamigni, F.; Lotz, M.K. MicroRNA-155 suppresses autophagy in chondrocytes by modulating expression of autophagy proteins. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* **2016**, *24*, 1082–1091. [CrossRef]
- 49. Li, S.H.; Wu, Q.F. MicroRNAs target on cartilage extracellular matrix degradation of knee osteoarthritis. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* **2021**, *25*, 1185–1197. [CrossRef]
- 50. Okuhara, A.; Nakasa, T.; Shibuya, H.; Niimoto, T.; Adachi, N.; Deie, M.; Ochi, M. Changes in microRNA expression in peripheral mononuclear cells according to the progression of osteoarthritis. *Mod. Rheumatol.* **2012**, 22, 446–457. [CrossRef]
- 51. Soyocak, A.; Kurt, H.; Ozgen, M.; Turgut Cosan, D.; Colak, E.; Gunes, H.V. miRNA-146a, miRNA-155 and JNK expression levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells according to grade of knee osteoarthritis. *Gene* **2017**, *627*, 207–211. [CrossRef]
- Giannitti, C.; De Palma, A.; Pascarelli, N.A.; Cheleschi, S.; Giordano, N.; Galeazzi, M.; Fioravanti, A. Can balneotherapy modify microRNA expression levels in osteoarthritis? A comparative study in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 2017, 61, 2153–2158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- O'Connell, R.M.; Kahn, D.; Gibson, W.S.; Round, J.L.; Scholz, R.L.; Chaudhuri, A.A.; Kahn, M.E.; Rao, D.S.; Baltimore, D. MicroRNA-155 promotes autoimmune inflammation by enhancing inflammatory T cell development. *Immunity* 2010, 33, 607–619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Kurowska-Stolarska, M.; Alivernini, S.; Ballantine, L.E.; Asquith, D.L.; Millar, N.L.; Gilchrist, D.S.; Reilly, J.; Ierna, M.; Fraser, A.R.; Stolarski, B.; et al. MicroRNA-155 as a proinflammatory regulator in clinical and experimental arthritis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 11193–11198. [CrossRef]
- 55. Li, X.; Tian, F.; Wang, F. Rheumatoid arthritis-associated microRNA-155 targets SOCS1 and upregulates TNF-alpha and IL-1beta in PBMCs. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2013**, *14*, 23910–23921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Chen, C.; Liu, Y.M.; Fu, B.L.; Xu, L.L.; Wang, B. MicroRNA-21: An Emerging Player in Bone Diseases. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2021, 12, 722804. [CrossRef]
- 57. Mohebbi, M.; Atabaki, M.; Tavakkol-Afshari, J.; Shariati-Sarabi, Z.; Poursamimi, J.; Mohajeri, S.A.; Mohammadi, M. Significant Effect of Crocin on the Gene Expression of MicroRNA-21 and MicroRNA-155 in Patients with Osteoarthritis. *Iran. J. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* **2022**, *21*, 322–331. [CrossRef]
- 58. Wang, X.B.; Zhao, F.C.; Yi, L.H.; Tang, J.L.; Zhu, Z.Y.; Pang, Y.; Chen, Y.S.; Li, D.Y.; Guo, K.J.; Zheng, X. MicroRNA-21-5p as a novel therapeutic target for osteoarthritis. *Rheumatology* **2019**, *58*, 1485–1497. [CrossRef]
- 59. Hoshikawa, N.; Sakai, A.; Takai, S.; Suzuki, H. Targeting Extracellular miR-21-TLR7 Signaling Provides Long-Lasting Analgesia in Osteoarthritis. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids* 2020, *19*, 199–207. [CrossRef]
- 60. Konteles, V.; Papathanasiou, I.; Tzetis, M.; Kriebardis, A.; Tsezou, A. Synovial Fibroblast Extracellular Vesicles Induce Inflammation via Delivering miR-21-5p in Osteoarthritis. *Cells* **2025**, *14*, 519. [CrossRef]
- Fioravanti, A.; Tenti, S.; McAllister, M.; Chemaly, M.; Eakin, A.; McLaughlin, J.; Bjourson, A.J.; Frati, E.; McGilligan, V.; Cheleschi, S.; et al. Exploring the involvement of NLRP3 and IL-1beta in osteoarthritis of the hand: Results from a pilot study. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2019, 2019, 2363460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Oliviero, F.; Ramonda, R.; Scanu, A.; Galozzi, P.; Favero, M.; Punzi, L. Levels of inflammatory cytokines and metalloproteinases are increased in knee synovial fluid of patients with concomitant erosive hand osteoarthritis. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* **2020**, *38*, 800. [PubMed]
- 63. McAlindon, T.E.; Hunnicutt, J.L.; Roberts, M.B.; Haugen, I.K.; Schaefer, L.F.; Driban, J.B.; Lu, B.; Duryea, J.; Smith, S.E.; Booth, S.L.; et al. Associations of inflammatory and metabolic biomarkers with incident erosive hand osteoarthritis in the osteoarthritis initiative cohort. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2024, *32*, 592–600. [CrossRef]
- 64. Haugen, I.K.; Mathiessen, A.; Slatkowsky-Christensen, B.; Magnusson, K.; Boyesen, P.; Sesseng, S.; van der Heijde, D.; Kvien, T.K.; Hammer, H.B. Synovitis and radiographic progression in non-erosive and erosive hand osteoarthritis: Is erosive hand osteoarthritis a separate inflammatory phenotype? *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2016, 24, 647–654. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tenti, S.; Bruyère, O.; Cheleschi, S.; Reginster, J.Y.; Veronese, N.; Fioravanti, A. An update on the use of conventional and biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in hand osteoarthritis. *Ther. Adv. Musculoskelet. Dis.* 2023, 15, 1759720X231158618. [CrossRef]
- 66. Neurath, L.; Sticherling, M.; Schett, G.; Fagni, F. Targeting cytokines in psoriatic arthritis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2024, 78, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 67. Dikbas, O.; Tosun, M.; Bes, C.; Tonuk, S.B.; Aksehirli, O.Y.; Soy, M. Serum levels of visfatin, resistin and adiponectin in patients with psoriatic arthritis and associations with disease severity. *Int. J. Rheum. Dis.* **2016**, *19*, 672–677. [CrossRef]
- 68. Toussirot, E.; Aubin, F.; Dumoulin, G. Relationships between adipose tissue and psoriasis, with or without arthritis. *Front. Immunol.* **2014**, *5*, 368. [CrossRef]
- 69. Economou, A.; Mallia, I.; Fioravanti, A.; Gentileschi, S.; Nacci, F.; Bellando Randone, S.; Lepri, G.; Guiducci, S. The role of adipokines between genders in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2024**, *25*, 10865. [CrossRef]
- 70. Houttekiet, C.; de Vlam, K.; Neerinckx, B.; Lories, R. Systematic review of the use of CRP in clinical trials for psoriatic arthritis: A concern for clinical practice? *RMD Open* **2022**, *8*, e001756. [CrossRef]
- 71. Punzi, L.; Ramonda, R.; Oliviero, F.; Sfriso, P.; Mussap, M.; Plebani, M.; Podswiadek, M.; Todesco, S. Value of C reactive protein in the assessment of erosive osteoarthritis of the hand. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2005**, *64*, 955–957. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Filkova, M.; Senolt, L.; Braun, M.; Hulejova, H.; Pavelkova, A.; Sleglova, O.; Kupka, K.; Gatterova, J.; Pavelka, K. Serum hyaluronic acid as a potential marker with a predictive value for further radiographic progression of hand osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2009, *17*, 1615–1619. [CrossRef]
- 73. Sackett, D.L.; Haynes, R.B. The architecture of diagnostic research. BMJ 2002, 324, 539–541. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Altman, R.; Alarcon, G.; Appelrouth, D.; Bloch, D.; Borenstein, D.; Brandt, K.; Brown, C.; Cooke, T.D.; Daniel, W.; Gray, R.; et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hand. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1990, 33, 1601–1610. [CrossRef]

- 75. Tenti, S.; Veronese, N.; Cheleschi, S.; Seccafico, I.; Bruyere, O.; Reginster, J.Y.; Fioravanti, A. Prescription-grade crystalline glucosamine sulfate as an add-on therapy to conventional treatments in erosive osteoarthritis of the hand: Results from a 6-month observational retrospective study. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* **2022**, *34*, 1613–1625. [CrossRef]
- Kallman, D.A.; Wigley, F.M.; Scott, W.W.J.; Hochberg, M.C.; Tobin, J.D. New radiographic grading scales for osteoarthritis of the hand. Reliability for determining prevalence and progression. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1989, 32, 1584–1591. [CrossRef]
- 77. Saviola, G.; Abdi-Ali, L.; Povino, M.R.; Campostrini, L.; Sacco, S.; Dalle Carbonare, L.; Carbonare, L.D. Intramuscular clodronate in erosive osteoarthritis of the hand is effective on pain and reduces serum COMP: A randomized pilot trial-The ER.O.D.E. study (ERosive Osteoarthritis and Disodium-clodronate Evaluation). *Clin. Rheumatol.* **2017**, *36*, 2343–2350. [CrossRef]
- 78. Lohman, T.G.; Roche, A.F.; Martorell, R. *Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual*; Human Kinetics Books: Champaign, IL, USA, 1991.
- 79. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults—The Evidence Report. National Institutes of Health. *Obes. Res.* **1998**, *6* (Suppl. 2), 51S–209S.
- Ranza, R.; Marchesoni, A.; Calori, G.; Bianchi, G.; Braga, M.; Canazza, S.; Canesi, B.; Fumagalli, M.; Mastaglio, C.; Mathieu, A.; et al. The Italian version of the Functional Disability Index of the Health Assessment Questionnaire. A reliable instrument for multicenter studies on rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* 1993, *11*, 123–128.
- 81. Bruce, B.; Fries, J.F. The Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire: Dimensions and practical applications. *Health Qual. Life Outcomes* **2003**, *1*, 20. [CrossRef]
- 82. Gandini, F.; Giannitti, C.; Fattore, G.; Giordano, N.; Galeazzi, M.; Fioravanti, A. Validation of an Italian version of the functional index for hand osteoarthritis (FIHOA). *Mod. Rheumatol.* **2012**, *2*, 758–765. [CrossRef]
- 83. Acosta Felquer, M.L.; Ferreyra Garrott, L.; Marin, J.; Catay, E.; Scolnik, M.; Scaglioni, V.; Ruta, S.; Rosa, J.; Soriano, E.R. Remission criteria and activity indices in psoriatic arthritis. *Clin. Rheumatol.* **2014**, *33*, 1323–1330. [CrossRef]
- 84. Feldman, S.R.; Krueger, G.G. Psoriasis assessment tools in clinical trials. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 2005, 64 (Suppl. 2), ii65–ii68; discussion ii69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Boyum, A. Isolation of mononuclear cells and granulocytes from human blood. Isolation of mononuclear cells by one centrifugation, and of granulocytes by combining centrifugation and sedimentation at 1 g. *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Investig. Suppl.* **1968**, *97*, 77–89.
- Corkum, C.P.; Ings, D.P.; Burgess, C.; Karwowska, S.; Kroll, W.; Michalak, T.I. Immune cell subsets and their gene expression profiles from human PBMC isolated by Vacutainer Cell Preparation Tube (CPTTM) and standard density gradient. *BMC Immunol.* 2015, 16, 48. [CrossRef]
- 87. Pfaffl, M.W. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2001, 29, e45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Ramakers, C.; Ruijter, J.M.; Deprez, R.H.; Moorman, A.F. Assumption-free analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data. *Neurosci. Lett.* **2003**, *339*, 62–66. [CrossRef]
- Vandesompele, J.; De Preter, K.; Pattyn, F.; Poppe, B.; Van Roy, N.; De Paepe, A.; Speleman, F. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 2002, *3*, RESEARCH0034.1. [CrossRef]
- 90. Hosmer, D.W.; Lemeshow, S.; Sturdivant, R.X. Applied Logistic Regression; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2013.
- 91. Royston, P.; Sauerbrei, W. Multivariable Model—Building: A Pragmatic Approach to Regression Analysis Based on Fractional Polynomials for Modelling Continuous Variables; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 2008.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.